OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES Implementing Agency/County PERFORMANCE SITE VISIT

GRA	NT NUMBER	GRA	NT AWARD PERIOD	GRANT AWARD AMOUNT				
RT07040150		10/1/07	- 6/30/09	\$62,844				
PROGRAM N	IAME:	Avenues	s to Change					
PROJECT TI	TLE:	Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Program						
(1) ADMINI	STRATIVE AGENCY:	County	County of Kern					
(2) IMPLEM	IENTING AGENCY:	Probatio	n Department					
(2) PROJEC	THE DADE CHOOL	7 1 B	n 1					
(3) PROJEC	T DIRECTOR:	John R.	Roberts					
	A dduggg.	2005 Pide Perd Princefull CA 02205						
	Address:	2005 Ridge Road, Bakersfield, CA 93305						
	Phone:	(661) 868-4102						
	1 none.	(001) 00	00 1102					
DATE OF VIS	SIT: 10/29/08	Visit Conducted By: Leona LaRochelle						
	PERSON(S) INTERVIEWED/CONTACTED DURING THE VISITATION							
Date	Name		Title	Telephone/E-Mail Address				
10/29/08	Matthew Gomez		Deputy Probation Officer					
			III (DPO)					
10/29/08 Thad W. Kennedy		,	Division Director Juvenile	(661) 868-4223				
			Hall					
10/29/08	Theresa L. Yanez		Assist Division	(661) 868-7482				

Signature of OES Representative Conducting the Visit	Date	
Signature of Section Chief	Date	

10/29/08

Creig York

Director/Programmatic person

Administrative Services

Officer/Financial Officer

(661) 868-4154

I.

PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW General A. Does the project being visited fit within one of the Following categories? (check only one) [✓] 2nd Year; 3rd Year; Over four years; (Please specify) 2003 –2008 years. **Operational Documentation** Does the project have current versions of the: Recipient Handbook a. **Grant Award Agreement** b. 3. Goals, Objectives, and Project Activities (Review the project's responses to the goals, objectives, and activities of the Grant Award Agreement) Has there been any significant changes in the way the project implements or sustains the objectives, and activities of this program? If yes, has the project discussed the possibility of submitting a grant award modification? Is the project making satisfactory progress toward achieving the goals and objectives. If not, please explain. **Progress Reporting** (Review the progress report format, content, and submission requirements) Has the project submitted all required reports on time? If not, please explain Has the project kept accurate source documentation \mathbf{X}

to support statistical data on the PR?

I.	PROGRAMMATIC REVIEW (continued)						
	A.	Ger	neral (continued)	YES	NO	N/A
		5.	(Revie	rammatic Source Documentation ew documents maintained by project that sents data reported on PRs)			
			a.	Has the project developed an information retrieval system that provides accurate data? (This system may be automated or manual) ATC Commitments Database	X		
			b.	Does the project use data summary sheets or other concrete documents that validate project performance/direct support? JJC/CSA Monthly Stats Report	X		
		6.	(Assi	ect Staff Duties & Responsibilities ure that project staff have made other project available for interviews during the visitation)			
			a.	Have all grant funded project staff positions been filled? If no, please explain.	X		
			b.	Are job descriptions "project specific," rather than a copy of the "county, local agency job classification/position description? Job specific and trained specific	X		
			c.	Do project staff meet all special skill certifications required?	X		
			d.	Are staff performing duties discussed in the Grant Award Agreement?	X		
			e.	Have project staff assumed duties for more than one OES funded project? If yes, please explain.		X	
			f.	Are there any programmatic problems that are unique to this project? If yes, please explain. Lack of funding to continue project. Have closed program as of 10/24/08	X		

II.	ADN	ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW					
	A.	Gei	neral Pro	YES NO N/A ogram Files			
		a. Is the project familiar with preparation requirements for the following frequently used OES forms:					
				(1) OES Form 223, Grant Award Modification (2) OES Form 201, Report of Expenditures and Request for Funds			
		2.	Per	rsonnel Policies			
			a.	Are written personnel policies in place & available to X all employees?			
			b.	Do these policies discuss work hours, compensation X rates, including overtime, and benefits; vacation, sick or other leave allowances, hiring and promotional policies?			
	В.	Financial Requirements					
		1.	Fur	nctional Time Sheets			
			a.	Does the project use the OES Functional Time Sheet X for all project positions employed less-than fulltime?			
			b.	Are functional time sheets completed correctly?			
		2.	Dut	ties of the Financial Officer			
			a.	Has the project taken steps to assure that the duties of the financial officer are separate from that of the project director? (Separation of duties)			

II. ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW (continued)

В.	Fin	anci	al Requirements (continued)	Yes	No	N/A
		b.	Do the financial officer and project director interact successfully on project expenditure decisions?	X		
	3.	Pro	ogram Match Requirements (Check this block if N/A) >>			
		a.	This project has the following match requirement:			
			Cash match 25% In kind match A combination of the above, approved by OES	X		
		b.	Has the project provided documentation that verifies the use of local funds to satisfy match requirements?	X		
C.	Fin	anci	al Source Documentation			
	1.		es the project maintain updated budget pages on all proved grant award modifications?	X		
	2.		es the project maintain Confidential Funds? o, are protective safeguards and policies in place?			X
	3.	-	project income is acquired, is it tracked and reported? no, please explain			X
	4.	Ha	s the project submitted Reports of Expenditures on time?	X		
	5.		e there other issues concerning project expenditures and orting? If so, please explain.		X	

II. ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW (continued) D. **Equipment** Yes No N/A Acquisition Are equipment purchases authorized budget items? X a. Was equipment purchased in accordance with the b. X Grant Award Agreement? Does the project maintain inventory control logs of X equipment purchased with grant funds? Ε. **State/Federal Administrative Requirements Mandated State and Federal Programs** (Determine whether or not the following documents are posted at the site visited) A current Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Policy Statement? A current "Harassment or Discrimination in X Employment is Prohibited by Law" poster? A current Drug-Free Workplace Policy statement? X

III. PROGRAMMATIC, ADMINISTRATIVE, AND FINANCIAL DISCUSSIONS

(Provide a summary of observations, findings, and recommendations made during the visit)

On October 29, 2008, Leona LaRochelle, Program Specialist, conducted a site visit of the Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) program, named "Avenues to Change" at the Kern County Probation Department. In attendance was: Creig York, Financial Officer and Routine Fiscal Person; Matthew Gomez, Deputy Probation Officer III; Thad Kennedy, Division Director, Juvenile Hall; and Theresa Yanez, Assistant Division Director and Routine Programmatic Person.

Kern County Probation Department began their RSAT program in 2003/04. That first year they were funded \$500,000 from Federal Trust Funds, but since the inception of the program the funding to the RSAT has continually decreased. By the following Fiscal Year (FY) 2004/05 funding had dropped to \$350,000. Still a further decline in Federal funding in the FY 2005/06 to \$134,496; and in the FY 2007/08 another decline to \$83,792. In the FY 2008/09 the Office of Emergency Services (OES) was able to increase their funding to \$111,659 for this year only. With the Amendment of 2008/09, (which was to begin in October 1, 2008, and the funds were to be augmented to the FY 2007/08 grant award), recipients were able to go retro-active to July 1, 2008 to claim their funds, which enabled Kern to continue in the FY 2008/09 Augmentation. But regretfully, Kern County's Probation Department has had to close the doors on their RSAT program due to lack of funding, as the funds were used up by the first quarter of the grant award.

I received documentation which showed activities from the "Avenues to Change" program from the inception of the program in FY 2003/04 through the last enrollee on June 29, 2008. Most Wards stay in the program from six to eight months. Documentation of the Avenues to Change program's Monthly Stats Report was also provided showing statistics from the last year.

The Equal Employee Opportunity checklist was addressed, in which Creig York provided documentation needed for the Office of Emergency Services' Compliance Officer, Lisa Abila. Posters were properly posted. According to Thad Kennedy, Division Director, Juvenile Hall, there has been no discrimination findings or allegations of discrimination in the last two years.

There has been some change in staff. A Modification has been submitted, which changes the Project Director to John Roberts, from Brandon Beecher; the routine programmatic person name changed to Theresa Yanez, from Theresa Boss; the routine fiscal person is now Creig York, from Ahmed Jawadi; and the Chair of the Governing Body is now Michael J. Rubion from Don Maben. Signed copies of Modification #2 was received on October 28, 2008 at OES, and sent to Grants Processing on November 3, 2008.

A tour of the facility was lead by Matthew Gomez, DPO III, and Theresa Yanz, Assistant Division Director. The Avenues to Change facility was vacant, due to the programs' closure date of October 24, 2008. Division Director, Thad Kennedy, mentioned they were looking into Senate Bill 81, the Juvenile Justice Realignment initiative for new funding.